Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/769,204	ALISON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel M Sullivan	1636
All Participants:	Status of Applic	ation:
(1) <u>Daniel M Sullivan</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Luren Sliger</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 May 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	☐ Applicant's representative) No	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 64 and 65 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	IE GENERAL NATURE OF W	HAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The examiner will provi separate record of the substar	de a written summary of the substance nce of the interview, since the interview
Anne-Marie Dalk		
ANNE-MARIE FALK, PM.D RINGRY EXAMINER		
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	Applicant/Applicant's Represe	ntative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner informed Applicant's representative that the amendment filed under Rule 1.312 could not be entered because the status of some of the claims appears to be incorrect. The Examiner acknowledged that the gramatical errors in the claims could be remedied by a Certificate of Correction.